PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

· · · · · ·

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						1an		
То:						PCT RITTEN OPINION OF THE		
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
					- C III	·		
L					Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
1 ''		gent's file referenc	e		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
44	223				See paragraph 2 below			
	•	plication No.		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT	/EP2	2004/0043	395	26.04.2004		24.04.2003		
Applica		tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC			
	BANSS SCHLACHT- UND FÖRDERTECHNIK GMBH							
l.	This o	pinion contains in	dications relat	ing to the following items				
	\boxtimes	Box No. 1	Basis of the					
		Box No. 11	Priority	opinion				
	Ħ	Box No. III	•	thment of oninion with res	eard to povelty inventi	ve step and industrial applicability		
	$\overline{\Box}$	Box No. IV		y of invention		To step and modernal approaching		
		Box No. V	Reasoned sta			novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited				
ŀ		Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication			
		Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURT	HER ACTION						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name ar	nd mailie	ng address of the 1	SA/EP		Authorized officer			
rank di	пип	ng address of the 1	G.V.LI		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.					Telephone No			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004395

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	-	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed attion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed. as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004395

Box	No. 11	Priority
1.	Х т	he following document has not yet been furnished:
	\triangleright	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis, 1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Co	onsequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on a assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	(R	his opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the elevant date.
3.	Additio	nal observations, if necessary:
		·
		-

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004395

Box		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-26	YES	
		Claims		МО	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-26	YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-26	YES	
		Claims		NO	

2. Citations and explanations:

- In the present report, reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DE 101 24 396 A (BANSS SCHLACHT UND FOERDERTECH) 28 November 2002 (2002-11-28)
- The document D1 is considered as the closest prior art. It discloses a scalding tunnel for slaughter animals from which the subject matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the air circulation in the tunnel takes place by means of the arrangement of multicomponent-discharging nozzles which give off a mixture of steam and atomized water. Such an arrangement makes possible the circulation of the interior atmosphere in the scalding tunnel without fans which are very costly in space and energy consumption.

The use of fans is the customary procedure for achieving homogeneous and stable atmosphere in the scalding tunnel, and, although multicomponent-discharging nozzles are known from the prior art, their use for setting the air conditions in a scalding tunnel is not disclosed in any document.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004395

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

Therefore, it would not be considered as a customary procedure to a person skilled in the art to combine all of the features set forth in claim 1 with one another.

Claims 2-15 are dependent on claim 1 and thus likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

3. Independent claim 16 discloses a method for scalding slaughter animals in a scalding tunnel which differs from the closest prior art in that the air is renewed by multicomponent-discharging nozzles which give off a mixture of steam and atomized water. Such a method makes it possible to dispense with a costly and complicated fan system to achieve homogeneous atmospheric conditions in the tunnel.

A person skilled in the art would also not consider it a customary procedure to combine all of the features set forth in claim 1 with one another, since no setting method for the atmospheric conditions in a scalding tunnel is described in the prior art, which comprises using multicomponent-discharging nozzles.

Claims 17-26 are dependent on claim 16 and thus likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.